

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/531,120		Applicant(s) PREUSS ET AL.	
	Examiner Teresa E. Strzelecka		Art Unit 1637	

All Participants:

(1) Teresa E. Strzelecka.

(2) Sharon Sintich.

Date of Interview: 7 October 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Teresa Strzelecka 10/7/05

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On August 19, 2005 a Printer Rush was issued for this case because of the statement on page 23, lines 21-24, of the specification mentioning color drawings. No such drawings were found in the case. Ms. Sintich was contacted to find out if Applicants ever submitted color drawings or wanted to. Ms. Sintich concluded that no such drawings were submitted and agreed to an Examiner's amendment deleting the phrase from the specification..